	Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1	
	Director of Personnel 5E 58 Hqs	 アン
		· ·
25X1	Att: (cy 1 of 4)	25X1
	Fred:	
	The attached routing slip gives us a new lead to the board on the IC supergrade study. They have asked for our comments by COB 27 September. I would appreciate your review and receipt of your comments by me on 26 September.	
	/2/_Jack	
	John F. Blake	
25X1	Acting Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, 7D 6011 Hqs, 22 Sep 77	
	(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE	
	ADDCI:JFBlake:kmg (22 Sep 77) (Distribution: Orig RS - D/Pers w/atts as stated above 1 - ADDCI ER	
25X1	Memo dtd 20 Sep 77 to ADDCI fr AD/DCI/IC, subj: IC Staff Supergrade	Study

2 0 SEP 1977

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Acting Deputy Director of Central Intelligence	
	FROM:	John N. McMahon Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence Community	
	SUBJECT:	IC Staff Supergrade Study	
25X1	study. The study	ttached is a new version of the supergrade has been revised considerably and some of ve judgments have been deleted.	
25X1	report on supergr strictly statisti caveats will help insure its proper	he IC Staff is committed to providing OMB a ades. We appreciate the limitations of a cal presentation, but believe that appropriate place the report in proper perspective and use. We have included a draft memorandum which OMB covering the report.	-
25X1	on the text by CO	e would appreciate any specific comments you have B 27 September 1977. In your response, please ion of the report considered particularly sensitive.	
		M JOHN N. McMAHON	
		John N. McMahon	
25X1	Attachment:		
	Cy 1 - Addee Cy 2 - A/D/DCI/IC Cy 3 - IC RegistrY Cy 4 - EO/ICS EO/ICS/RJKerr:hcd:20Se	Copy <u>3</u> of 3	25X1
25X1			25X1
		SECRET	

MEMORANDUM FOR: Edward R. Jayne

Associate Director for National Security

and International Affairs

Office of Management and Budget

FROM:

John N. McMahon

Acting Deputy to the DCI for the

Intelligence Community

SUBJECT:

Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade

Positions,

- 1. I am forwarding the attached Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade Positions which was prepared by the IC Staff in response to a January 1977 request from the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.
- 2. Based on my review of the survey and discussions with senior members of the Intelligence Community, I have reached the following conclusions concerning the issue of supergrade structure within the Intelligence Community:
 - a. The supergrade structure for the Intelligence Community in aggregate is reasonable and consistent with other Government agencies which have comparable responsibilities. Each agency has a rigorous system for determining its needs for supergrade positions and their allocation within the organization. Overall, it is my judgment that the numbers are roughly right. There are some imbalances among components of the Intelligence Community which will be examined further. One example is the DIA where I believe that an increase

Downgrade to UNCLASSIFIED Upon Removal of Attachment

SUBJECT: Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade Positions

in the number of supergrades may be justified in order to bring the analytical elements of the DIA to a comparable level with other major programs and to improve career opportunities within that organization. I will address this issue in the forthcoming budget review.

- b. While the question of supergrade levels is an important and sometimes emotional personnel issue, its impact on the budget is relatively insignificant. Because of the compression of salaries at the high grades (which will be exacerbated by the 1 October raise), there is not a large difference between midlevel GS-15 and supergrade salaries. My staff estimates that a reduction of ten persent in the roughly supergrades in the Intelligence community would yield an annual saving of only about
- c. While determining ratios and examining numbers of supergrades is important, the emphasis should not be on statistics but, rather, on the quality of the output. In the final analysis, such "output" assessment is the best basis for judging how an organization should be structured and manned. The IC Staff will be pursuing this kind of assessment in the future.

John N. McMahon

Attachment:
Survey of Intelligence
Community Supergrade Positions

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

	Intelligence Community S	raff
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	See Distribution
	FROM:	John N. McMahon Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence Community
	SUBJECT:	Request for Review/Comments on Supergrade Survey
25X1	supergrad e an d e	ne attached is a revised draft of the survey of executive positions in the Intelligence Community, requested by the Office of Management and Budget.
25X1	2. Pl on its factual a	lease review the draft and provide me your comments accuracy by 9 September 1977.
		John N. McMahon
	Attachment: As Stated	

Downgraded to UNCLASSIFIED upon removal of attachment

Copy of


25X1

Distribution:

- Copy 1 Mr. John F. Blake, Acting Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
 - 2 Vice Admiral B.R. Inman, USN, Director, National Security Agency
 - 3 Mr. Harold H. Saunders, Director of Intelligence and Research, Department of State
 - 4 Major General Harold R. Aaron, USA, Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of Army
 - 5 Lieutenant General Eugene F. Tighe, Jr., USAF, Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
 - 6 Rear Admiral Donald P. Harvey, USN, Director of Naval Intelligence
 - 7 Major General James L. Brown, USAF, Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence
 - 8 Mr. Clarence M. Kelley, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
 - 9 Major General Edward B. Giller, USAF (Ret.)
 Deputy Assistant Administrator for National Security,
 Energy Research and Development Administration
 - 10 Mr. Gerald P. Dinneen, Assistant Secretary of Defense, Communications Command Control and Intelligence

Distribution:
Copy 1 - CIA, Office of Personnel, Attn:
2 - NSA, Director of Civilian Personnel, Attn:
3 - State/INR, Attn: Ms Christine Schneider
4 - ASD(C ³ I), Attn: Mr. Craig Wilson
5 - DIA/RCC-3, Attn:
6 - NavIntCom, NIC 22, 2461 Eisenhower Ave., Alexandria, Va.
22331, Attn: Captain Hamel
7 - Major Fred Williamson, Hq USAF/INYXX, The Pentagon
8 - FBI, Personnel Officer, S. Ray Burns
9 - ERDA, Attn: Dr. John LaBarre
10 - Army ACSI DAMI-ZC, Attn: Mr. Herbert W. Taylor

25X1 25X1



SECKE

Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
Pr	efac	e	1
Ta	∙b]e	of Contents	
I.	Su	mmary	3
II.		ends in the Distribution of Executive Positions in the Intelligence Community and its Agencies	6
	A. B.	The Intelligence Community Central Intelligence Agency	7 9
		 Executive Pay (EP) Positions Scientific Pay Scale (SPS) Positions Supergrade Positions 	12 14 15
	r.	Intelligence Community Staff State/INR Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) ERDA (Division of International Security Affairs) Defense Intelligence	21 28 29 31 31
		 General National Security Agency Defense Intelligence Agency ASD(C³I) Army Intelligence Naval Intelligence Air Force Intelligence Special Air Force 	31 32 38 41 42 43 43
III.	Ana	lysis	46
	A. B. C.	Lack of Criteria for Cross-Agency Comparison Interagency Comparisons Within the Community Comparison With Other Federal Government	46 54
		Urganizations	59
App	endi	X	67
	A.B.C.D.	Central Intelligence Agency Intelligence Community Staff State/INR Federal Bureau of Investigation Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA)	67 71 73 74 75

G. D	For Release 2003/08/20: CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1 ational Security Agency efense Intelligence Agency ther Defense Intelligence Organizations	77 80
	igures and Tables	84
Table 1. -	Distribution of Civilian Supergrades and Flag/ General Officers Among Community Agencies (FY 1977)	8
Table 2.	Number of Executives in the Intelligence Community, 1973-1977	10
Table 3.	OMB-Approved Ceilings for CIA Executives (1973-1977)	11
Table 4.	Distribution of Scientific Pay Scale (SPS) Positions Within CIA	16
Figure 1	. Trend in Supergrade Ceiling Authorized to CIA by OMB Since 1949	17
Table 5.	Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among CIA Directorates	19
Table 6.	Distribution of the Total of Supergrade and SPS Positions Among Deputy Directorates	22
Table 7.	Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among Deputy Directorates by Grade	23
Table 8.	Authorized Manning and Executive Ceilings for the Intelligence Community Staff, 1973-1977	24
Table 9.	Authorized and Encumbered Executive Positions in Intelligence Community Staff (IC Staff), 1977	26
Table 10.	Types of Employees Filling Authorized Executive Positions in Intelligence Community Staff (June 1977)	27
Table 11.	Manning and Distribution of Supergrades Among Elements of State/INR in 1977	30
Table 12.	Number and Authority for Supergrades in GDIP/ CCP in FY 1978	33
Table 13.	Number of Executives Assigned to NSA, 1972-1977	35

60

62

64

66

.Approved	For Release 2003/08/20 - CIA-RDP86B00269R0013001400	JUT-T
Table 14.	Distribution of Supergrade Positions Among Organizational Elements of NSA	37
Table 15.	Senior Executive Positions and Grades in DIA, 1977	35
Table 16.	Trend in the Number of Senior Executives in DIA, 1973-1977	4(
Table 17.	Air Force Intelligence General Officers and Civilian Supergrades, 1973-1977	4.4
Table 18.	Ratio of Executives to Total Manning in Intelligence Community Agencies (1973-1977)	56
Table 19.	Ratio of the Number of Executives and Flag/ General Officers to the Total Manning of the Intelligence Community Agencies (1973-1977)	57

Ratio of Federal Executives to Agency Total

Selected Oversight Organization (FY 1976)

Ratio of Supergrades to Total Manning in the

Foreign Service, U.S.I.A., CIA, and CIA/DDO

Executive Ratio in Selected Agencies Supported

Manning in Rank Order (1 January 1977)

Ratio of Supergrades to Total Manning in

Number of Civilian Supergrades and the

by Intelligence (1 January 1977)

(FY 1976)

Table 20.

Table 21.

Table 22.

PREFACE

This study responds to a request of January 1977 by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) that a comprehensive survey be made of supergrade and executive level positions in the Intelligence Community.

The senior executive positions surveyed include: Executive Level positions, supergrade positions in grades GS-16 through GS-18, Special Pay Scale and PL 313 positions, and flag/general officers. The Intelligence Community organizations examined are: the Intelligence Community Staff, the intelligence portions of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence), the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Bureau of Intelligence and Research of the State Department, the foreign counterintelligence portion of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Division of International Security Affairs of the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the intelligence organizations of Army, Navy, and Air Force, and the Special Air Force activities. (The Intelligence Unit of Treasury was not made part of the NFIP until July 1977.)

The survey is primarily a statistical description of the distribution of supergrades and other senior executives (including flag/general officers) within the Community over the past five years, with emphasis on the distribution in 1977. Comparisons are made among Community agencies and, to gain a broader perspective, between Community agencies and other Federal Government organizations. Because of the

-Approved For Release 2003/08/20: CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001-1 large number of supergrades involved and the time constraints for the survey, only a limited effort was made to review and compare individual supergrade position descriptions. Therefore, the survey is <u>not</u> an audit, nor an attempt to rationalize the justifications for each supergrade position.

The survey is based on data and information that was provided to the IC Staff by each of the Intelligence Community agencies in March and June of 1977. During the survey, of course, minor reorganizations occurred in some of the agencies, and a number of personnel actions affecting the data on supergrades were taken. Therefore, a cut-off date of mid-June 1977 was adopted.

- Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R00130

SUMMARY

Since the Intelligence Community is a federation of agencies, the personnel systems, the sources of authority for and limitations on supergrade and executive positions, the types and degree of external review and control over supergrade position allocations and personnel actions vary from agency to agency. This contributes to the uneven distribution of supergrades within the Community and makes cross-agency comparisons difficult.

Each intelligence agency, however, has its own rigorous procedures for internal review of its needs for supergrade positions; controls the allocation of supergrade positions in accordance with agency needs and priorities; maintains internal merit and executive career development programs; and adheres to the criteria and principles of Civil Service personnel management of the rest of the Federal Government.

25X1

25X1

The total of senior executives (including: supergrade, Executive Level, PL 313-type, and flag/general officer positions) in 1977 comprises about percent of the Federal Government's executives. Over the last five years the total number of senior executives in the Community has been stable, with no tendency toward upward "creep." In fact, there has been a slight overall decline in their number since 1973.

The senior executive positions are distributed within the Community as follows:

	-					
	. /	Approved Fina Re	lease 200	3/08/20	CIA-RDP86B00269R001	30014 Sectet
TO:		AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	_	
1	10					(Security Classification)
2	2-1					
3					CONTROL NO	
4	LOTION .	DIRECT REPLY	I Ingeni	RE REPLY	CONTROL NO.	•
	ACTION Approval	DISPATCH	RECON	MMENDATION	4.	
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUR			9 6
	CONCURRENCE ARKS:	INFORMATION	SIGNA	TURE	0	
IV 1717	ANNS.					
					J line I	111 31. 4
					[1-677 +//
	FROM: NAME	, ADDRESS, AND PHO	ONE NO.	DATE		ツー おりょう ナル
						4
	IU STAFF	REGISTRY				
	ROOM	BW 69			•	
		HIS. NOG.		Hand	le Via	
				CON	ΛINT	
				Cha	nnels	
				One		
		A				
					nent will be restricted to	
		tnose a	pproved 10	or the i	ollowing specific activit	lics.



Warning Notice

Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

Secret

SENDER WILL SPREETES FIRE TO SHOULD SENDER WILL SPREETE SERVED SENDER WILL SPREETE SENDER WITCH WILL SPREETE SENDER WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH WITCH W UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP ΤO NAME AND ADDRESS DATE INITIALS 20 SEP 1 A/D/DCI/IC 2 A/DDCI 3 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION APPROVAL COMMENT FILE RETURN INFORMATION CONCURRENCE SIGNATURE Remarks: NOTE FOR A/DDCI We plan to distribute the revised study to the other intelligence agencies before the end of the month. Consequently, receipt of your comments as early as possible will be appreciated.

FOLD HERE	TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDI	RESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
FO/ICS		20 Sept
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

FORM NO. 237 Use previous aditions

Approved For Release 2003/08/20: CIA-RDP86B00269R001300140001r1iequitry 27 SEP 1977 THORAGULE FOR: Acting Deputy to the DCI for the Intelligence Community : John F. Elake MOST Acting Deputy Director of Central Intelligence IC Staff Supergrade Survey SUBJECT REFERENCE : Praft IC Staff Survey of Intelligence Community Supergrade Positions We have reviewed the draft IC Staff survey of Intelli-25X1 gence Community supergrade positions and find it to be a comprehensive and professional study as regards substantive content and commentary. The study, while essentially based on the use of statistical descriptions as the primary methodology to facilitate interagency comparisons, quite properly highlights the need for further substantive analysis of objective data to arrive at final conclusions as to the appropriateness of the number of supergrades required to meet the requirements of any specific agency. The statistical comparisons and ratios of Senior Executive 25X1 positions to total marming of Intelligence Community agencies presented in the study include an array of sensitive data not previously reported in a single report with such a wide distribution and potential readorship. We are concerned at the potential risk of unauthorized disclosure and emphasize the need for strict adherance with the safeguards applicable to a document with the security classification it carries. We are attaching herewith a number of comments, 25X1 corrections, and/or suggestions relative to specific references contained in the study. Jol John F. Bloken John F. Plake Att. **SER 2**] 23 PM'77 Originator: 25X1 25X1 Director of Personnel Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00269R001300[40001-1

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse, w/att.

1 - ADDCI; w/att.

1 - ADDA, w/att.

2 - D/Pers, w/att.

1 - OP/P&C, w/att.

25X1

OP/P&C/ cmc (26 Sep 77)

25X1

Approved For Release 2008/08/20 : C/A-RDP86860269R001300140001-1

COMMENTS, CORRECTIONS, AND/OR SUGGESTIONS RELATIVE TO SPECIFIC REFERENCES CONTAINED IN THE DRAFT IC STAFF STUDY OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY SUPERGRADE POSITIONS

	1.	Reference:	Senior Executive positions.
25X1		Comment :	The number of CIA Senior Executive positions (EP, SG, SPS and Flag/General Officer) should be
25X1	2.	Reference:	Page 8 - Table 1
		Comment :	Suggest that the second column be labeled "Civilian Senior Executives" and reword footnote (1) as follows: "Includes Supergrades, Executive Pay Level Executives, and Scientific Pay Schedule/PL-313-type positions".
25X1	3.	Reference:	Page 14 - List of CIA Executive Pay Level positions.
		Comment :	The position of the Director of National Photographic Interpretation Center is not designated as an EP position. This entry should be reported as an "Officer at Large" like the last entry on the listing.
25X1	4.	Reference:	Page 19 - Table 5
		Comment :	Recommend rewording of the footnote (1) as follows: 'This represents the DCI-approved perception of the Agency's actual supergrade position requirements. Only
25X1			(i.e., to abide by the OMB-approved ceiling limitation) positions may be encumbered.
25X1	5.	Reference:	Page 20 - Sixth sentence of first full paragraph on this page.
		Comment :	This sentence should be changed to read "the results of this audit were reviewed and approved by the Director".

CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2003/08/20 : CIA-RDP86B00265R001300140001-1

	6. Reference:	Page 20 - Second complete paragraph beginning "It is Agency policy to stay below the OMB ceiling"
25X1	Comment :	Should be rewritten to reflect current policy as follows: "It is Agency policy to stay at or below the OMB ceiling. Any positions adjudicated by position classification audits at the supergrade level and approved by the DCI may be established on the Table of Organization but cannot be incumbered if such action would exceed the OMB-approved ceiling".
	7. Reference:	Page 51 - Last paragraph reference to national intelligence vis a vis departmental intelligence.
25X1	Comment:	The report raises and dismisses the proposal that "national intelligence should be performed with higher quality personnel than departmental intelligence". This tends to obscure an important issue that does affect position evaluation. What is a relevant consideration is the amount of analytic or other special skills that is required in the production of intelligence. Some intelligence production is reportorial, some analytic, some scientific. The requirement for special skills is one factor that drives position evaluation, both for analysts and their managers.
	8. Reference:	Page 66 - Table 23
25X1 25X1	Comment :	The number of CIA Supergrade positions is erroneously reported as The total number of Senior Executive positions (i.e., EP. Supergrade, SPS and Flag/General Officer) in CIA is with a ratio of total manning of 1:31 (as reported in the Table).

25<u>X</u>1